5

FILE 0
1030 JUL 20 PM 12: 08

RUTH ALEVADS CLERK OF SUCEAUTH DINE MINA CONTROL SUCEAUTH

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YUMA

In the Matter of:

RECLASSIFICATION OF GRADE OF ADULT)
PROBATION FIELD SERVICES SUPERVISOR)
WITHIN YUMA COUNTY JUDICIAL MERIT)
SYSTEM,

and

NEW CLASSIFICATION OF POSITION OF)
ADULT INTENSIVE PROBATION SUPERVISOR)
WITHIN YUMA COUNTY JUDICIAL MERIT)
SYSTEM.

Administrative Order 90-18

As presiding judge of this court, I find:

- 1. On April 24, 1990 I entered administrative order 90-12 reclassifying the position of Adult Probation Field Services Supervisor from grade 24 to grade 25 within the Yuma County Judicial Merit System, which order was submitted that date, together with the proposed fiscal year 1990-1991 budget for this court's adult probation department, to the Yuma County Administrator, Finance Department and Personnel Department.
- 2. On June 20, 1990 this court approved the establishment of the new position of Adult Intensive Probation Supervisor at grade 25 within the Yuma County Judicial Merit System, which new classification was submitted that date to the Yuma County

3

Administrator with the revised budget of the adult probation department.

- 3. Neither position is funded by the general county fund; each is funded by state funding sources at no cost to Yuma County.
- 4. The revised budget, containing the reclassification of the position of Field Services Supervisor and the new position of Intensive Probation Supervisor, was approved tentatively by the Board of Supervisors in its public meeting conducted July 9, 1990.
- 5. The Board of Supervisors again met in public meeting on July 16, 1990, at which meeting part of its agenda included "discussion and action regarding County-Wide implementation of Reclassifications", and at which time it directed there be no county-wide reclassifications pending completion of a study it has commissioned with the Hay Group, which study is intended to provide a common method for future classification of and compensation evaluations of county eomployees, and which is not expected to be concluded until January or February, 1991.
- 6. As presiding judge I informed the Board of Supervisors at its meeting of July 9, 1990, that I had previously informed the Administrative Office of the County that this court and its departments is not a part of the county personnel system, that it was not a part of the Hay Group study, that it would not be bound by it nor affected by it although this court would cooperate with the study and consider its findings when and if rendered.
- 7. At no time has the Board of Supervisors made a determination that in reclassifying the grade of Field Services

3

5

Supervisor and in establishing the position of Intensive Probation Supervisor I have acted unreasonably, arbitrarily or capriciously.

8. The Board of Supervisors has failed to perform its ministerial duty of approving the reclassification and the creation of the new position in the absence of its determining them to be unreasonable, arbitrary or capricious.

Therefore, it is ORDERED the Yuma County Board of Supervisors shall forthwith approve the reclassification of the position of Adult Probation Field Services Supervisor from grade 24 to grade 25 within the Yuma County Judicial Merit System, and it shall forthwith approve the establishment of the position of Adult Intensive Probation Supervisor at grade 25 within the Yuma County Judicial Merit System, and that it shall direct the Yuma County Finance Director and the Yuma County Administrator to take those steps immediately as are necessary to give effect to this order.

DATED this 20th day of July, 1990.

Copy of the foregoing delivered July 20, 1990, to:

Office of the Yuma County Administrator

By Jane E. Pray

Jane E. Pray Secretary